



# EUROPEAN MONITORING CENTRE FOR DRUGS AND DRUG ADDICTION

## 2010 QUALITY REPORT

### Executive summary

*The document includes quantitative and qualitative information regarding National report; standard tables and structured questionnaires according to criteria described at the end of the document. The objective of the annual quality report is to give a feedback, on data sent to EMCDDA during the most recent reporting cycle (2009), including recommendations to Focal Points and their national networks for further improvement of the data quality.*

### National Report

**Deadline for submission to EMCDDA : 30/10/2009**

Report received : 29/10/2009  
N° of days of delays : 0 days

### Strong Points

- 

### Recommendations

- 

### Standard tables and structured questionnaires

**Deadline for submission to EMCDDA: 30/09/2009**

**Mandatory tables/questionnaires : 16**

N° of tables/ questionnaires received :

N° of tables/questionnaires delayed :

Missing tables/questionnaires :

Tables/questionnaires with no new data or no data :

## **A. National Report**

### **1. Methodological aspects**

**1. Does the Report mention the information sources and methodological information?**

•

**2. Does the report describe trends and developments (i.e. by gender, local areas, social groups and developments over time)?**

•

**3. Does the report provide interpretation on observed developments and trends? Does it provide relevant background information/contextual information that allows the reader to understand the situation with regard to specific drug-related information?**

•

## 2. Detailed Analysis by Section

<b>Summary</b>	<b>Overall level of detail</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good <input type="checkbox"/> Section too detailed	Other:	
	<b>Format and content</b>	Clarity and structure of reported information : <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good Other:	Relevance and usefulness of information: <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good	
	<b>Main strong points</b> <b>Main suggestions for improvement</b>			
<b>Legal Frameworks</b>	<b>Overall level of detail</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good <input type="checkbox"/> Section too detailed	Other:	
	<b>Format and content</b>	Clarity and structure of reported information : <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good  Other:	Relevance and usefulness of information: <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good	
	<b>Main strong points</b> <b>Main suggestions for improvement</b> <b>Other comments</b>	References made to ST/SQ: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Observance of major discrepancies: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Additional info to the one provided in the ST's /SQ's: <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good <input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable
			Other:	
<b>National action plan, strategy, evaluation and coordination</b>	<b>Overall level of detail</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good <input type="checkbox"/> Section too detailed	Other:	
	<b>Format and content</b>	Clarity and structure of reported information : <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good  Other:	Relevance and usefulness of information: <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good	
	<b>Main strong points</b>		Additional info to the one provided in the ST's /SQ's: <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good <input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable	

	<b>Main suggestions for improvement</b>	-		
	<b>Other comments</b>	References made to ST/SQ: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Observance of major discrepancies: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Other:

<b>Economic analysis</b>	<b>Overall level of detail</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good <input type="checkbox"/> Section too detailed	Other:	
	<b>Clarity/Understanding</b>	Reporting of methodological info: <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good  Other:	Description and interpretation of trends: <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good	Additional info to the one provided in the ST's /SQ's: <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good
	<b>Main strong points</b>	-		
	<b>Main suggestions for improvement</b> <b>Other comments</b>	- References made to ST/SQ: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Observance of major discrepancies: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Other:

<b>Drug use in the general population and in the school and youth population</b>	<b>Overall level of detail</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good <input type="checkbox"/> Section too detailed	Other:	
	<b>Clarity/Understanding</b>	Reporting of methodological info: <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good Other:	Description and interpretation of trends: <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good	Additional info to the one provided in the ST's /SQ's: <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good
	<b>Main strong points</b> <b>Main suggestions for improvement</b>	- -		
	<b>Other comments</b>	References made to ST/SQ: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Observance of major discrepancies: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Other:

<b>Drug use among targeted groups</b>	<b>Overall level of detail</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good <input type="checkbox"/> Section too detailed	Other:	
	<b>Clarity/Understanding</b>	Reporting of methodological info:	Description and interpretation of trends:	Additional info to the one provided in the ST's /SQ's:

		<input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good Other:	<input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good
	<b>Main strong points</b> <b>Main suggestions for improvement</b> <b>Other comments</b>	-	References made to ST/SQ: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Observance of major discrepancies: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

<b>Prevention</b>	<b>Overall level of detail</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good <input type="checkbox"/> Section too detailed	Other:		
	<b>Format and content</b>	Clarity and structure of reported information : <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good Other:	Relevance and usefulness of information: <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good	Additional info to the one provided in the ST's /SQ's: <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good	
	<b>Main strong points</b>	-			
	<b>Main suggestions for improvement</b> <b>Other comments</b>	-	References made to ST/SQ: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Observance of major discrepancies: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Other:

<b>Problem Drug Use</b>	<b>Overall level of detail</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good <input type="checkbox"/> Section too detailed	Other:		
	<b>Clarity/Understanding</b>	Reporting of methodological info: <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good Other:	Description and interpretation of trends: <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good	Additional info to the one provided in the ST's /SQ's: <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good	
	<b>Main strong points</b>	-			
	<b>Main suggestions for improvement</b> <b>Other comments</b>	-	References made to ST/SQ: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Observance of major discrepancies: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Other:

<b>Drug-related treatment - description</b>	<b>Overall level of detail</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good <input type="checkbox"/> Section too detailed	Other:	
	<b>Format and content</b>	Clarity and structure of reported information : <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good  Other:	Relevance and usefulness of information: <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good	Additional info to the one provided in the ST's /SQ's: <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good <i>Not applicable - no data collection this year</i>
	<b>Main strong points</b>	-		
	<b>Main suggestions for improvement</b>	-		
<b>Other comments</b>	References made to ST/SQ: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <i>Not applicable - no data collection this year</i>	Observance of major discrepancies: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Other:	

<b>Drug-related treatment – Access to treatment : characteristics of treated clients and trends (TDI)</b>	<b>Overall level of detail</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good <input type="checkbox"/> Section too detailed	Other:	
	<b>Format and content</b>	Reporting of methodological info: <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good Other:	Description and interpretation of trends: <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good	Additional info to the one provided in the ST's /SQ's: <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good
	<b>Main strong points</b>	-		
	<b>Main suggestions for improvement</b>	-		
<b>Other comments</b>	References made to ST/SQ: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Observance of major discrepancies: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Other:	

<b>Drug-related treatment – Access to treatment : substitution treatment and trends (ST 24- SQ 27)</b>	<b>Overall level of detail</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good <input type="checkbox"/> Section too detailed	Other:	
	<b>Format and content</b>	Reporting of methodological info: <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good	Description and interpretation of trends: <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good	Additional info to the one provided in the ST's /SQ's: <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good <i>Not applicable - no data collection this year</i>

	<b>Main strong points</b>	Other:		
	<b>Main suggestions for improvement</b>	-		
	<b>Other comments</b>	References made to ST/SQ: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <i>Not applicable - no data collection this year</i>	Observance of major discrepancies: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Other:

<b>Drug-related infectious diseases</b>	<b>Overall level of detail</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good <input type="checkbox"/> Section too detailed Other:		
	<b>Clarity/Understanding</b>	Reporting of methodological info: <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good Other:	Description and interpretation of trends: <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good	Additional info to the one provided in the ST's /SQ's: <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good
	<b>Main strong points</b>	-		
	<b>Main suggestions for improvement</b>	-		
	<b>Other comments</b>	References made to ST/SQ: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Observance of major discrepancies: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Other:

<b>Drug-related deaths</b>	<b>Overall level of detail</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good <input type="checkbox"/> Section too detailed Other:		
	<b>Clarity/Understanding</b>	Reporting of methodological info: <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good Other:	Description and interpretation of trends: <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good	Additional info to the one provided in the ST's /SQ's: <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good
	<b>Main strong points</b>	-		
	<b>Main suggestions for improvement</b>	-		
	<b>Other comments</b>	References made to ST/SQ: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Observance of major discrepancies: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Other:

<b>Responses to Health Correlates and</b>	<b>Overall level of detail</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient Other:		
---	--------------------------------	--	--	--

<b>Consequences</b>	<b>Format and content</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Good <input type="checkbox"/> Section too detailed  Clarity and structure of reported information : <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good	Relevance and usefulness of information: <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good	Additional info to the one provided in the ST's /SQ's: <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good <i>Not applicable - no data collection this year</i>
	<b>Main strong points</b>	Other:		
	<b>Main suggestions for improvement</b>	-		
	<b>Other comments</b>	References made to ST/SQ: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <i>Not applicable - no data collection this year</i>	Observance of major discrepancies: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Other:

<b>Social Exclusion and drug use</b>	<b>Overall level of detail</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good <input type="checkbox"/> Section too detailed	Other:	
	<b>Clarity/Understanding</b>	Reporting of methodological info: <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good Other:	Description and interpretation of trends: <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good	Additional info to the one provided in the ST's /SQ's: <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good
	<b>Main strong points</b>	-		
	<b>Main suggestions for improvement</b>	-		
<b>Other comments</b>	References made to ST/SQ: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Observance of major discrepancies: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Other:	

<b>Social Reintegration</b>	<b>Overall level of detail</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good <input type="checkbox"/> Section too detailed	Other:	
	<b>Format and content</b>	Clarity and structure of reported information : <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good  Other:	Relevance and usefulness of information: <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good	Additional info to the one provided in the ST's /SQ's: <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good <i>Not applicable - no data collection this year</i>



	<b>Main strong points</b>	-		
	<b>Main suggestions for improvement</b>	-		
	<b>Other comments</b>	References made to ST/SQ: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <i>Not applicable - no data collection this year</i>	Observance of major discrepancies: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Other:

<b>Drug related Crime</b>	<b>Overall level of detail</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good <input type="checkbox"/> Section too detailed	Other:	
	<b>Clarity/Understanding</b>	Reporting of methodological info: <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good Other:	Description and interpretation of trends: <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good	Additional info to the one provided in the ST's /SQ's: <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good
	<b>Main strong points</b>	-		
	<b>Main suggestions for improvement</b>	-		
	<b>Other comments</b>	References made to ST/SQ: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Observance of major discrepancies: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Other:

<b>Drug use and problem drug use in prisons</b>	<b>Overall level of detail</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good <input type="checkbox"/> Section too detailed	Other:	
	<b>Clarity/Understanding</b>	Reporting of methodological info: <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good Other:	Description and interpretation of trends: <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good	Additional info to the one provided in the ST's /SQ's: <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good
	<b>Main strong points</b>	-		
	<b>Main suggestions for improvement</b>	-		
	<b>Other comments</b>	References made to ST/SQ: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Observance of major discrepancies: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Other:

<b>Responses to drug-related health issues in</b>	<b>Overall level of detail</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient	Other:	
---	--------------------------------	--	--------	--

<b>prisons</b>	<b>Format and content</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Good <input type="checkbox"/> Section too detailed  Clarity and structure of reported information : <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good Other:	Relevance and usefulness of information: <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good	Additional info to the one provided in the ST's /SQ's: <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good	
	<b>Main strong points</b> <b>Main suggestions for improvement</b> <b>Other comments</b>	-	-	References made to ST/SQ: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Observance of major discrepancies: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

<b>Drug Markets</b>	<b>Overall level of detail</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good <input type="checkbox"/> Section too detailed	Other:			
	<b>Clarity/Understanding</b>	Reporting of methodological info: <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good Other:	Description and interpretation of trends: <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good	Additional info to the one provided in the ST's /SQ's: <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good		
	<b>Main strong points</b> <b>Main suggestions for improvement</b> <b>Other comments</b>	-	-	References made to ST/SQ: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Observance of major discrepancies: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Other:

<b>Cannabis markets and production</b>	<b>Overall level of detail</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good <input type="checkbox"/> Section too detailed	Other:			
	<b>Clarity/Understanding</b>	Reporting of methodological info: <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good Other:	Description and interpretation of results: <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> Good			
	<b>Main strong points</b> <b>Main suggestions for improvement</b>	-	-			

**Treatment for older drug user**

**Overall level of detail**

**Clarity/Understanding**

**Main strong points**  
**Main suggestions for improvement**

- Insufficient
- Sufficient
- Good
- Section too detailed

Other:

Reporting of methodological info:  
 Insufficient  
 Sufficient  
 Good  
Other:

Description and interpretation of results:  
 Insufficient  
 Sufficient  
 Good

-  
-

### 3. Compliance with reporting structure

Standard reporting structure in the report	YES/NO/ (yes but NNIA <sup>1</sup> )
<b>New developments</b>	
National policies and context	
- Legal framework	
- National action plan, strategy, evaluation and coordination	
- Economic analysis	
Drug Use in the GP and specific targeted groups	
- drug use in the general population	
- drug use in the school & youth population	
- drug use among targeted groups	
Prevention	
- universal prevention	
- selective prevention in at-risks groups/settings	
- Indicated prevention	
- National and local media campaigns	
Problem drug use	
- prevalence and incidence estimate of PDU	
- PDU from non-treat. sources	
- Intensive, frequent, long term and other problematic form of use	
Drug related treatment : treatment demand & treatment availability	
- Strategy and treatment systems	
- characteristics of treated clients	
- Trends in clients in treatment	
Health correlates and consequences	
- drug-related infectious diseases	
- other drug related health correlates and consequences	
- drug related deaths and mortality of drug users	
Responses to health correlates & consequences	
- prevention of drug related emergencies and reduction of drug related deaths	
- prevention and treatment of drug-related infectious diseases	
- Responses to other health correlates among drug users	
Social correlates and social reintegration	
- social exclusion and drug use	
- social reintegration	
Drug-related crime, prevention of drug related crime and prison	
- Drug-related crime	
- Prevention of drug related crime	
- interventions in the criminal justice system	
- Drug use and problem drug use in prisons	
- Responses to drug-related health issues in prisons	
- Reintegration of drug users after release from prison	

<sup>1</sup> Paragraph available, but no new information for reporting year is available.

Drug markets	
- availability and supply	
- seizures	
- price/ purity	
<b>Selected Issue</b>	
- Cannabis markets and production	
- Treatment and care of older drug users	

### 4. Presentation and layout

Other requirements stipulated in the guidelines	YES/NO/Partially
Use of a table of contents	
Following the order of chapters	
Summary	
Font : ARIAL size 11	
Bibliographic references: Harvard system	
Use of graphical solutions	
Checklist of availability of information	
<b>Graphs/tables<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>/very good/ good / poor/</b>
Graphs including: title, year, source	
Tables including: title, year, source	
ANY remarks:	
<b>Layout in general</b>	
ANY remarks:	
<b>Language</b>	
ANY remarks:	
<b>Conciseness of the report in relation to the relevance of the information</b>	
ANY remarks:	

Length of paragraphs	pages
<b>Part A: New developments and Trends</b>	
<b>Part B: Selected Issue</b>	
- Cannabis markets and production	
- Treatment and care for older drug users	
<b>Part C: Bibliography, Annexes</b>	

### 5. Meeting deadline

<b>NR uploaded the:</b>
<b>29/10/2009 – report on time</b>

<sup>2</sup> A graph and/or a table is defined as « very good », when they include: title, source(s), year of reference, and clear labelling of X/Y axes. A graph and/or a table will be defined as good or poor depending on the number of criteria missing.

## B. Standard tables and structured questionnaires

### 1. General overview of submission

Type of report		New data	number of reports submitted	submission date	Delay (in days)	delivery deadline
ST1	method. of GPS					30-09-2009
ST2	results school surveys					30-09-2009
ST3	charac. of pers strat. Treat. (TDI)					30-09-2009
ST5	acute/direct related deaths					30-09-2009
ST6	evolutions of deaths					30-09-2009
ST7/8	national/local prev. Estimates PDU					30-09-2009
ST9 p1	prev. Of hep B/C and HIV - methods prev.					30-09-2009
ST9 p2	prev. Of hep B/C and HIV - serology prev					30-09-2009
ST9 p3	prev. Of hep B/C and HIV - behaviour					30-09-2009
ST9 p4	prev. Of hep B/C and HIV – notifications					30-09-2009
ST11	arrest/reports for drug law offences					30-09-2009
ST12	drug use among prisoners					30-09-2009
ST13	number and quantity seizures					30-09-2009
ST14	Purity at street level					30-09-2009
ST15	composition of tablets sold					30-09-2009
ST16	price in euro at street level					30-09-2009
ST 17	Leading edge indicators for new developments in drug consumption					30-09-2009
ST 18	Overall mortality and causes of deaths among drug users					30-09-2009
ST30	methods and results of youth surveys					30-09-2009
ST34	TDI					30-09-2009
	Public expenditures					30-09-2009
					Not mandatory report(s)	
					N° of No New Data reports	
					<b>Missing report(s)</b>	

## 2- Overview of quality controls

The ID of the report is the identification of the file in the Fonte system  
 Concluding date is the date of finalisation of the validation process  
 Number of exchanges raised: Frequency of exchanges between EMCCDA and NFPs  
**CNDA** : Unclear if some/all data in the SQ/ST are new or not  
**CQUA** : Clarification of quantitative data is needed  
**CQUAL** : Clarification of qualitative data is needed  
**CREF** : Clarification of reference is needed  
**DNR** : Discrepancy(ies) between information provided in NR and ST/SQ has been found  
**MDA** : Some quantitative data is missing  
**MME** : Some qualitative data is missing  
**MRE** : Some references are missing  
**OTH** : any other problem observed during the validation process

### Qualification problems registered

Id of report	No new data	Concluding date	Number of topics opened	CNDA	CQUA	CQUAL	CREF	DNR	MDA	MME	MRE	OTH	Total number of qualifications problems registered when report was submitted	Total number of modified questions by the NFP	Total number of modified fields by the NFP	Listing of all questions modified


**C. Overall EU comparison**

Insert graph on the number of pages submitted per country

➤ In 2009, 13 countries submitted the national report on time.

**Insert graph on the delays in submission**

➤ A total of xxx reports were submitted in Fonte, the following graph shows the distribution of reports with “new data” against “no new data” for the 2009 mandatory tables.





## **D. Methodological background**

### **1. National Report**

In the quality feedback, it is checked whether the report follows the formal requirements on deadline, contents and rules for editing, taking the guidelines for national reporting as reference document.

In addition, for each section of the report, an analysis raises questions and comments on :

- level of details, rated as : insufficient, sufficient, good, or too detailed

A distinction is made for sections according to their quantitative or qualitative related content.

- as regards **quantitative information**:
  - o clarity and understanding of the methodological information is rated accordingly as insufficient, sufficient or good
  - o clarity and understanding of the description and interpretation of trends is rated accordingly as insufficient, sufficient or good
  - o completeness of the information taking into account other tools for data collection (mainly standard tables); rated accordingly as insufficient, sufficient or good. In case that there was no additional data collection during the specific reporting cycle, this criteria might be left in blank.
  - o discrepancy in reported figures (between NR and Standard tables) is controlled. In case that there was no additional to the NR, data collection during the specific reporting cycle, this criteria might be left in blank.
- as regards **qualitative information**:
  - o clarity and structure of the information is rated accordingly as insufficient, sufficient or good
  - o relevance and usefulness of the information rated as insufficient, sufficient or good
  - o completeness of the information taking into account other tools for data collection (mainly standard tables); rated accordingly as insufficient, sufficient or good. In case that there was no additional data collection during the specific reporting cycle, this criteria might be left in blank.
  - o discrepancy in reported figures (between NR and structured questionnaires and possibly standard tables) is controlled. In case that there was no additional to the NR, data collection during the specific reporting cycle, this criteria might be left in blank.
- Finally for each section main strong points are listed along with specific recommendations whenever necessary.

### **2. Standard tables and structured questionnaires**

The quality feedback on standard tables and structured questionnaires includes comments concerning punctuality of data submission and types of problems encountered during the validation phase of the data (October 2009-April 2010). A table presents a quantification of quality issues such as clarification of qualitative and quantitative data, missing information and discrepancies between figures.

**All comments or questions could be addressed to : xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx**